Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/226,128	KAWABATA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Peter B. Kim	2851	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Peter B. Kim.	(3)		
(2) Mark Woodall.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 27 November 2002.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)  applicant's representa	ative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 4.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Diggle and Cloutier</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. I	n)□ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		to if an agreement was	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments tha		
<ul> <li>i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a second checked).</li> </ul>	eparate record of the substa	ance of the interview(if box is	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	. (See MPEP Section 713. MONTH FROM THIS INTE	04). If a reply to the last Office ERVIEW DATE TO FILE A	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's s	ignature, if required	